

# THE SOUNDING MEGAPHONE

---



ISSUE 19, OCTOBER 2019

---



# THE SOUNDING MEGAPHONE



Do you consider yourself a global citizen? Globalism is a worldwide movement that aims to unify the world into one political/economic unit. Is this utopia or the tower of Babel all over again? I welcome your comments. Thank you for reading and for your interest in this important issue. God bless you.

## **Shawn Stevens**

P. O. Box 933,  
Lynden, WA 98264,  
U. S. A.

You understand that by reading this magazine, you might be exposed to content that you regard to be offensive or objectionable and that you read this magazine at your own risk. We do not intend to offend anyone, however, what is offensive to one person may not be offensive to another. It is difficult, if not impossible, to speak on controversial topics in a clear way without offending someone. We ask that viewers would receive the contents of this magazine in the spirit in which they are given, that is, to speak the truth on sensitive issues in a loving way that does not compromise our conscientious convictions. Freedom of speech is a provision of The Canadian Charter of Rights And Freedoms (Section 2). We want to express in the clearest language possible that all statements made in this issue are meant to be taken not in malice towards any identifiable people group but, rather, as discussion on issues of public interest, for public benefit, in good faith. Our views on the issues contained here are also consistent with our faith beliefs which are contained in our statement of faith which can be viewed on our website

*freedomandsocialorder.com*. Whether you agree or disagree with what we have stated here, we bless you and invite your feedback.

**Visit our websites:** <http://freedomandsocialorder.com/>  
**AND** <http://zionchristianministry.com>



## THE SOUNDING MEGAPHONE ISSUE 19

### BEWARE OF GLOBALISM

What is globalism? Is it something that we need to prepare for? Globalism is a multifaceted concept that is challenging to sum up. It is a focus on the world as a whole; it is a focus on its environment, economics and political union. It is the belief that the world should pull together into one economic, environmental and political entity.

Liberal and secular forces are pushing hard for a one-world government. The assembling of a one-world government is the determined effort of many leaders today. In 1943, Wendell Wilkie's book, "One World," was published and sold over two million copies. Two years later, Emery Reves' book, "The Anatomy of Peace," argued for the setting up of a federal world-government. Books, such as these, began feeding the grass

roots movement towards one-world government. In the United States, individuals such as Grenville Clark, Norman Cousins, Alan Cranston and Robert Hutchins, were all instrumental in organizing and, finally, forming the organization, the "United World Federalists," in 1947. This organization later changed its name to "World Federalist Association" and then to "Citizens for Global Solutions." By 1949, they claimed a membership of 47,000. Similar movements arose in other countries leading to the formation of a global coalition in 1947, now called the "World Federalist Movement." This organization has seen a steady increase in membership and, by 1950, claimed 156,000 members and had spread to 22 countries. The international headquarters for the World Federalist Movement is based in New York City, across from the United Nations

building. The World Federalist Movement, since 1970, has enjoyed special consultive status with ECOSOC and is associated with the UN Department of Public Information. Its supporters number between 30,000 to 50,000 people.

The World Federalist Movement works together with other member organizations such as “Citizens for Global Solutions,” “Union of European Federalists,” “World Federalist Movement – Canada,” “World Federalist Movement of Japan,” “Ugandan World Federalists,” “One World Trust,” “Committee for a Democratic UN,” “Democratic World Federalists,” and others. World Federalists have worked hard at proposing and promoting amendments to the United Nations charter. Such amendments would institute reforms toward a world court with compulsory jurisdiction and judicial review authority and a more representative Security Council. They also support a

United Nations standing, peacekeeping force and an international criminal court. The efforts of the World Federalist Movement show that the push towards global government is very real and is backed by thousands of people labouring toward this end.

Such a one-world government, that liberals and other secularists are pushing for, is not one based on Jesus Christ. It is largely a secular government with a secular agenda. Such acceptance of a one-world government will mark the end of western civilization, if it is implemented. Such a one-world government would swallow up, and assimilate, western civilization into its global, secular culture, not respecting and, eventually, not tolerating Christian values and faith.



The concept of a one-world government that is evil and that persecutes God's people, should not seem strange to a Christian. The Church has taught that this will occur in the end times and it is a popular topic among Christian pastors and authors. If we have been warned of this reality already then why are so many Christians unconcerned about globalism? I believe that there are two main reasons. First, most believers believe in a pre-tribulation rapture of the Church. Secondly, they see end-time events as being fixed and completely unchangeable. So, why worry or prepare for something that we have no control over?

The Bible teaches an end-time rapture of the Church. However, theologians have disagreed over when this rapture will occur. The majority view is that it will occur before the great tribulation period, meaning that the Church would not be on Earth during the difficult years of the one-world antichrist's rule. Although this is

widely accepted by evangelicals, I, personally, am not convinced that the rapture will happen this way. It could happen this way, but we are simply not told with clarity. There is much obscurity concerning end-time biblical prophecy and the student of the Bible must read with humility and an open mind when wrestling with these kinds of topics.

What if the Church is not raptured before the tribulation period? Well, then she will be facing a one-world globalist government that is hostile towards her. I think that it is wise to prepare.

The other reason that I gave for believers being indifferent towards globalism was that we regard it as being fixed. Without a doubt, the future prophesied in the Bible will occur. However, there may well be many variables in these events concerning the extent of

the one-world government's influence, power, etc. Who knows what variables there might be in the playing out of these events? We should not just accept their development as completely fixed in every way.

Even when the one-world government comes to power it, undoubtedly, will focus on controlling the main cities of the world. It is the cities that will be filled with population. Those populations will be dependent on the infrastructure of those cities. How far will such a government go to cross land and sea and ascend mountains to hunt down Hermit Joe? They probably won't bother with Hermit Joe. I believe that there will be a lot of Hermit Joes in the last days. They will have their little cabin on a hill with solar panels and their own garden and water supply. They will probably be in a good position to ride out the end of the world.

I am not saying that we necessarily should isolate ourselves from others at the moment life starts getting hard. The end-times are an incredible time for ministering and being a witness for Jesus. However, I believe that at some point the window to do so will close. Jesus said; "I must work the works of him that sent me, while it is day: the night cometh, when no man can work." (John 9:4). What was He saying here? When is the time when no man can work? He may have meant the dark days of Gethsemane and His own crucifixion. At this time, He and His disciples could not do the type of ministry that they had been busy with before that time. However, I think that it is more likely that Jesus is speaking of a time, at the final end of time, when the window for ministry is closed and God's people are enduring to the end. When that time comes and a globalist one-world government is ruling, I hope that you have a place where

your family can escape to and hunker down. Prepare for it now. What does preparing for this look like? First, get out of debt. Debt only makes you dependent on the financial system that the globalists one day will control. Preparation may include buying a trailer or even a small piece of land somewhere remote. It may be impractical for you to relocate at this present time but you may be able to set up an inexpensive get-away retreat that you may use at a future time. Have a plan. Plan to get away; plan how you can get away and escape your

area if you need to one day?

God bless you.

Shawn Stevens

## **REFERENCES :**

Scripture quotation taken from the King James Version

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/World\\_government](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/World_government)



## **BEWARE OF GLOBALISM - PART 2**

What is globalism? Our media and educational systems bombard us with calls to become a “global citizen” and tell us that we are part of a global economy and a global ecological system. We are encouraged to think globally.

To understand globalism for what it really is we need to understand where it is ultimately headed. The ultimate goal of globalism is to create a one-world political system, a globalist state. It is when this ultimate goal is achieved that the ruling elite will pursue their goal to try to control every person on earth.

Is there not already a one-world system in the earth today? That is an interesting question. In some respects there is a one-world system. There is a global elite in this world made up of the most wealthy families and an array of government leaders who work together to control the economies, resources and wealth

of the world. By controlling the economies, resources and wealth of the world they exert a great deal of control over individual people in the world who work in those economies and consume those resources? Global elite can increase their power, wealth and control by forming a one- world government.

What will be the framework for this one-world government? The framework already exists. It is the United Nations. The United Nations came into being in 1945. It is made up of six main departments or subsections. They are The General Assembly, The Security Council, Economic and Social Council, The Trusteeship Council, The International Court of Justice and the Secretariat. All member states make up the General Assembly but only fifteen members make up the Security Council. The Security Council is made up of five permanent member nations and ten

member nations that are elected to the Security Council for two-year periods. The permanent members are The United States, Russia, China, The United Kingdom and France. It is the Security Council that makes decisions that are mandated to be accepted by all member states. The Security Council works through the framework of the United Nations to control member nations and ultimately individual citizens of those nations.

What are some ways that the U.N. is exerting, or potentially will exert, control over individuals like you and me? This could be answered in many ways. One very significant way is by an initiative called Agenda 21. Agenda 21 is an action plan of the U.N. for the implementation of sustainable development. This Agenda was released at the 1992 Earth Summit in *Rio de Janeiro*, Brazil. The 21 stands for twenty-first century and the U.N.'s agenda for you and me for the twenty-first

century is radical environmentalism and sustainable development. The purpose of Agenda 21 is to promote sustainable consumption patterns for nations and people and to help countries create national policies to change unsustainable consumption patterns. It is also to address population growth and to promote population programs that involve family planning. It is also to promote vaccine use. Agenda 21 also promotes the development of “land – use control measures.”<sup>2</sup> It encourages countries to “Formulate appropriate land-use policies and introduce planning regulations ...”<sup>3</sup> It would like to see countries “Review the regulatory framework, including laws, regulations and enforcement procedures, in order to identify improvements needed to support sustainable land use ...”<sup>4</sup> The problem with instituting the control measures, planing regulations, laws and

enforcement procedures, called for in the last 3 quotes, is that it may encroach upon or violate peoples individual rights, freedoms and way of life. It encourages the adoption of regulatory measures to change consumption patterns, protect the atmosphere, combat deforestation, protect the oceans and manage land resources.

What justification does the U. N. Have for encouraging the adoption of environmental regulations placed on nations and ultimately on individual people? Probably their most emphasized point is that regulations are needed to protect the earth from global warming and climatism. The U.N. and the IPCC have convinced many world leaders that greenhouse emissions are dramatically affecting the environment and causing the earth to heat up. However, in recent years many scientists have begun questioning the basis of the theory of global warming. In 2007, the Global Warming Petition Project published a list

of over 31,000 scientists which included over 9,000 PHDs who claimed that there isn't convincing evidence that man-made carbon dioxide and other greenhouse are creating a catastrophic heating of earth's atmosphere and disruptions with earth's climate. A non-governmental international panel on climate change was started in 2003 by Dr. Fred Singer. Singer is the professor of atmospheric physics at the University of Virginia. Since then, two scientific publications "Climate Change Reconsidered 1" and "Climate Change Reconsidered II" (1200 page report) have provided a rebuttal to IPCC's position on global warming. Global warming caused by carbon emissions is taught as fact in our public school system despite of a very large body of conflicting evidence. As well as the liberal media and the public education system promoting a radical view of global warming, many political leaders are weighing in with their support.



What is the result of the U.N. working through world political leaders, the liberal media and the public education system to alarm the public with the supposed emergency of global warming and climatism? It provides a basis for an agenda, Agenda 21. It creates a supposed need for regulations, regulatory framework, laws and enforcement procedures to impose restrictions and controls on countries and individual citizens. The U.N. would like to see our consumption patterns and land-use come under firm control. It feels that we need regulations, population controls and the implementation of land-control measures to guide our lives into the path of sustainable development. I believe that these kinds of controls are an infringement on human freedoms and against the best interests of most people to live out their lives independently. By restricting and constricting peoples' access to land and use of land, peoples' rights to private property are being compromised. What will

be the result of this? If people are not free to own and control their own land they will instead settle in cities. Cities are comprised of many public systems on which their inhabitants depend. Those living in cities soon become dependent on the economic, energy supply, food supply and social systems that the cities have in place. Citizens also are subject to mass advertising which permeates cities and which more often than not lures people into debt. The profits that are made off of the dependent people within cities work their way up the ladder to the elite of the world who are increasing in wealth and power and who are assembling a globalist new world order. This is globalism at work.

Fortunately, many people are waking up to all the brain-washing and propaganda that is calling us to globalism and global citizenship. Many people are becoming aware and, also, socially active in resisting globalism.

## ENDNOTES :

1. Agenda 21 : *Earth Summit : The United Nations Programme of Action from Rio*, (Copyright CrabCube, Inc 2013), 45.

2. Ibid., 49.

3. Ibid., 50.

4. Ibid., 84.

## REFERENCES :

*Agenda 21 : Earth Summit : The United Nations Programme of Action from Rio*, Copyright CrabCube, Inc 2013.

*Agenda 21* –  
[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Agenda\\_21](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Agenda_21)

*Basic Facts About The United Nations*. New York, NY : News and Media Division United Nation Department of Public Information., 2000.

Goreham, Steve. *A Science-Based Rebuttal to Global Warming Alarmism*. The Washington Times, September 10, 2013.

Goreham, Steve. *Icecap Alarms: The Mouse versus the Elephant*.  
<http://www.climatism.net/articles/>

Goreham, Steve. *Is it a failure to communicate, or faulty climate science?* The Washington Times, May 29, 2013.

Goreham, Steve. *Why the climate models are wrong*. The Washington Times, July 30, 2013.

*The Globalist Agenda*,  
<http://www.globalistagenda.org>

Williams, Lindsey. *Lindsey Williams – Jonathan May*,

*Economic Hitman, Video.*

<http://www.lindseywilliams.net>

## **POLITICAL CONSEQUENCES TO GLOBALISM**

Globalism is a powerful force in our world. It is the idea that our world is benefitted by bringing together, in an ever-increasing way, the political, financial, social and even religious structures of our world into one system. Such a bringing together of our world is not necessarily a good thing. Many fear that the real purpose for globalism is to further empower multi-national corporations and world banking institutions to maximize their profits at the expense of common workers, work safety conditions,

compensation standards and labour hiring. Globalism takes power from national legislative authorities and, ultimately, the common people of any nation and puts it into the hands of wealthy international elites. Globalism compromises democratic representation, undermines local decision making and centralizes wealth and power in fewer hands. Many believe that globalism is bringing about the creation of political conglomerations such as the European Union. Many believe that the European Union is not the last conglomeration of nations to



form. We may see an Asian Union, an African Union and an American Union. What could such a union look like or mean for us living in North America? For an idea, take a look at the European Union.

Let's take a long hard look at the European Union. In 1993, the Maastricht Treaty brought into being the European Union. However, a precursor to the EU was the European Economic Community which formed in 1957 and included Belgium, The Netherlands, Luxembourg, France, West Germany and Italy. In 1973, Denmark, Ireland and the United Kingdom opted to join this union, now called the EC. Greece joined in 1981 and Spain

and Portugal joined in 1986. In 1995, Finland, Austria and Sweden joined the European Union. Since 2007, numerous other European countries have joined the EU. What are some negative consequences for the countries that have joined this union. Eurozone countries lose control over their monetary policy, for example, interest rates. Member countries have working regulations imposed on them by this new government. The free movement of workers throughout the union may result in local workers not having the same job opportunities open to them in the area where they live. The new European government has

imposed laws upon member nations. Many nationals feel restricted by these new laws which did not originate within their country. According to Mr. Denis MacShane, a former European minister, nine percent of the United Kingdom's laws have now been made by the European Union government. England is now brexiting the EU. According to the Lithuanian government, since joining in 2005, it now has thirty percent more laws which came from the government of the EU. In addition to bearing the burden of more laws, member countries also are required to pay the expense of enforcing these laws. This can be a significant expense. The European Union is

advantageous to transnational corporations. However, many Europeans feel that it is only the transnational corporations who are benefitting from this arrangement.

What other possible disadvantages could come from political/economic unions like the European Union? Much profit earned by transnational companies ends up leaving the countries in which they are operating and goes back to the home country of that transnational company. Water supplies and electricity may be diverted from its country of origin to another country. The involvement of transnational companies in national countries

may, in some cases, cause local inflation. Local domestic companies may close, not being able to compete with larger foreign companies.

In light of this we need to keep our national independence. We need to defend our currency, and our sovereignty from the global ambitions of powerful elites. We need our sovereignty.

## REFERENCES :

<http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/worldnews/europe/6198513/EU-is-Britain-still-a-sovereign-state.html>

<http://www.theguardian.com/business/2011/dec/02/eurozone-fiscal-union-loss-sovereignty>

<http://greenfieldgeography.wikispaces.com/Loss%20of%20sovereignty>

“Nationalism” Wikipedia.

<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nationalism>

“Nationalism” Meriam-

Webster. <http://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/nationalism>



